

Proposed Agenda

SRFB Issues Task Force

January 8, 2004

Prime Connection Conference Room (600 Capitol Way S)

January 9, 2004

Natural Resources Building Room 175a, Olympia

Thursday, January 8, 2004

- | | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------|
| 7:00 p.m. | Open <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Introductions➤ Review Agenda | Steve Tharinger |
| 7:15 p.m. | Should an additional two percent be allocated in the first funding increment as an incentive for lead entities to join together in developing a strategy, recovery planning, or combining project lists? If so, based on what criteria?
<i>Continued discussion and decision on recommendations to the SRFB.</i> | Jim Fox |
| | What should the specific wording and relative weights be for the questions used to evaluate how well a project list addresses the priorities of the lead entity strategy and how specific and focused the strategy is?
<i>Continued discussion and decision on recommendations to the SRFB.</i> | Jim Fox |
| 9:30 p.m. | Adjourn for the evening | |

Friday, January 9, 2004

- | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------------|
| 8:30 a.m. | Reconvene Meeting | Steve Tharinger |
| 8:45 a.m. | How will the Board use the Review Panel's report, public comments, the strategy outlines, project summaries and other information to allocate the second increment of SRFB funding across lead entity project lists?
<i>Continued discussion and decision on recommendations to the SRFB.</i> | Jim Fox |

If, as a result of the state budget requirement that \$23.2 million of SRFB funds be spent on restoration projects, there are insufficient funds for high ranked acquisition and assessment projects, how will the Board decide which ones to fund?

Tim Smith

Continued discussion and decision on recommendations to the SRFB.

12:00 p.m. **Lunch Break (on your own)**

1:00 p.m. **How will the Board award Federal FY05 funds if they become available in time for the Fifth Round?**

Jim Fox

Continued discussion and decision on recommendations to the SRFB.

Benefits and certainty: new definitions.

Jim Fox

Continued discussion and decision on recommendations to the SRFB.

What criteria should the Review Panel's technical advisors use when reviewing projects to ascertain that they are technically sound?

Jim Fox

Continued discussion and decision on recommendations to the SRFB.

Other Issues?

Jim Fox

Decide if needed and, if yes, Set next meeting date

Steve Tharinger

3:00 p.m. **Adjourn**